



Proposal for a practical framework to determine financial compensations for damage to nature Prepared for the BIOVAL project

Jomme Desair & Sander Jacobs Jomme.desair@inbo.be

Why BIOVAL?



- Between 11,4 and 38,1 million birds are illegally killed every year in the EU and mediterranean^{1,2}
- Tools in place to demand compensation
 - → Compensation lists in 10 countries in the EU
- Most wildlife crimes (60%) still go unpunished³
 - \rightarrow Lack of specialization
 - \rightarrow Lack of resources
 - \rightarrow Lack of good tools?

¹ Brochet et al. (2016). Preliminary assessment of the scope and scale of lifegal killing and taking of birds in the Mediterranean. Bird Conservation International, 26(1), 1-28.
² Brochet et al. (2019). Illegal killing and taking of birds in Europe outside the Mediterranean: Assessing the scope and scale of a complex issue. Bird Conservation International, 26(1), 10-40
³ Engel, K. (2023). Uncovering the Invisible: Successes and Challenges for Wildlife Crime Prosecution in Europe: European Summary Report. Successful Wildlife Crime

Why BIOVAL?



Transparent tool



Easily calculate compensation



Most wildlife crimes (60%) still go unpunished³

- \rightarrow Lack of specialization
- \rightarrow Lack of resources
- \rightarrow Lack of good tools?



Accepted within the legal community

With low external expertise needed



Compensation as a form of valuing nature

Value to value equivalence in remediation: monetary valuation¹

IPBES Central Framework² and Values assessment³:

- → Nature has diverse values (INS, REL, INT)
- → Not all readily translateable to monetary value





Valuation requires a legitimate and stepwise process⁴

 ¹ European Commission (2013), Environmental Liability Directive: Training Handbook and Accompanying Slides, European Commission, Brussels
² IPBES (2022). Methodological Assessment Report on the Diverse Values and Valuation of Nature of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. Balvanera, P., Pascual, U., Christie, M., Baptiste, B., and González-Jiménez, D. (eds.). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany.
³ Díaz et al. (2015). The IPBES Conceptual Framework—Connecting nature and people. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *14*, 1–16.
⁴ Termansen et al. (2023). Five steps towards transformative valuation of nature. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *64*, 101344.



Figure 3 43 Valuation process depicted in 5 steps.

The choice and application of an appropriate (set of) valuation methods (step 4) is embedded within this larger process.

rmansen, M., Jacobs, S., Pandit, R., Mwampamba, T. H., Dendoncker, N., Schaafsma, M., Contreras, V., González-Jiménez, D., Gundimeda, H., Lee, H., Filyushkina, A., Jambachano, M., Palomo, I., & Castro, A. J. (2023). Five steps towards transformative valuation of nature. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 64, 101344.

1. Construct a Legitimate Process

Main stakeholders are the legal community

Streamlining definitions workshop

criteria and methodology V0.1

First workshop with wider

First internal presentation of selected



10/2021

- 12/2021
- 04/202 2
- 06/2022

11/202

2

Presentation at the MIKT 5 meeting

community V0.2

Start of tender

with core team

Second workshop with wider community V0.3

12/2022

03/2023

05/2023

06/202

07/202

Future

3

3



First case ruled with methodolog

Presentation at the Habitat

conference V0.4



Presentation at the IMPEL meeti



First expert workshop for construction of complete list V1.0



List delivered for second case

V X.x



2. What is the purpose of the valuation?

- Civil and criminal courts
- Ecological damage where ELD is not feasible/applicable
- Compensation when primary remediation is not possible or feasible^{1,2}
- Monetary value only to be used as compensation not as THE value of the species



House sparrow caught with limestick

¹ Carrete, M., Tella, J. (2016). Rapid loss of antipredatory behaviour in captive-bred birds is linked to current avian ² Stojanovic, D. (2023). Altered wing phenotypes of captive-bred migratory birds lower post-release fitness. *Ecolog*

3. Which values should be included?



- 1. Screening of existing tools and stated possible criteria
- 2. Strength and weakness analysis of tools and criteria
- 3. Broad value categories considered



4. Internal and external workshops and presentations for validation







The BIOVAL criteria

Extinction risk

Risk of extinction as indicated by IUCN Red List Status.

Cultural significance

Cultural significance indicated by tales/flags/tourism/official communications/...

Ecological significance

Ecological significance indicated by specialization and role in the ecosystem

Contribution to welfare

Contribution or damage to human economies, health or well-being

Size/Lifespan

Size and lifespan as an indicator for acceptability of compensation

The criteria that are not regarded

- Nature of the crime (intent, methods used, recidivism,...)
- Individual gain (only as a minimum value of compensation + fine)



4. Method selection and application



Additive formula, scaled to statement based willingness to accept

- Independent criteria
- Avoiding absorption of criteria

Contribution of individual criteria to final amount is clear

Leaving room for adapting the formula





Scaled to acceptable amounts



€50.000 - €10.000 - €1.000

Total amount for compensation of large/long-living species



Scaled to acceptable amounts

€50.000



€10.000



€1.000



Operationalized through Delphi study



Species - English	↓ [].	TOTAL	Category animal	Extinction risk	Cultural significance	Ecological significance	Contribution to Welfare
Adder (Vipera berus)		3.672,09	Medium sized/medium long-living	Endangered	Normal	Medium/specialist	Normal
Badger (Meles meles)		3.941,52	Medium sized/medium long-living	Vulnerable	High	Medium/specialist	Normal
Barn owl (Tyto alba)		2 860 38	Medium sized/medium long living	Least concorn	High	Modium/spocialist	Normal
Barn swallow (Hirundo rustica)		544,15	Small/short-living	Vulnerable	High	Medium/specialist	High
Dearged reeding (Panarus plannicus)		200,11	smallyshort-living	vumerable	wormai	Normal/generalist	ivormai
Beech marten (Martes foina)		833,33	Medium sized/medium long-living	Least concern	Normal	Normal/generalist	Negative
Black kite (Milvus migrans)		5.000,00	Large/long-living	Least concern	Normal	Normal/generalist	Normal
Blackbird (Turdus merula)		100,00	Small/short-living	Least concern	Normal	Normal/generalist	Normal
Brambling (Fringilla montifringilla)		100,00	Small/short-living	Least concern	Normal	Normal/generalist	Normal
Buzzard (Buteo buteo)		5.000,00	Large/long-living	Least concern	Normal	Normal/generalist	Normal
Canada goose (Branta canadensis)		4.166,67	Large/long-living	Least concern	Normal	Normal/generalist	Negative
Carrien arous (Consus corono)		2 500 00		1 t	112-0	NI 1/	NI I
Chaffinch (Fringilla coelebs)		250,00	Small/short-living	Least concern	High	Normal/generalist	Normal
Coal tit (Parus ater)		138,91	Small/short-living	Near threatened	Normal	Normal/generalist	Normal
Collered dovo (Strentonolia despecto)		100.00	Carell (also as their a	1	NI	NI 1/	NI
Common crane (Grus grus)		12.500,00	Large/long-living	Least concern	High	Normal/generalist	Normal
Common linnet (Carquelis cannapina)		208,11	Small/short-living	Vulnerable	Normai	Normal/generalist	Normai
Common lizard (Zootoca vivipara)		100,00	Small/short-living	Least concern	Normal	Normal/generalist	Normal
Common redpoll (Carduelis flammea)		100,00	Small/short-living	Least concern	Normal	Normal/generalist	Normal

What did we contribute in the end?

- 1. Diverse values of nature, previously uncaptured
 - Cultural value
 - Contribution to welfare
- 2. Independent use of criteria through addition in stead of multiplication
- 3. Transparent formula which is easy to fill in and interpret
- 4. Robust indicators per criterium
- 5. Acceptable amounts for compensation through statement based WTA
- 6. Formula can be applied for additional species and fully updateable



Wolf killed on 28/09/2023 – possible compensation of €42.500

What are the open questions?

- 1. How to improve robustness of indicators (data and experts)
- 2. How to take into account spatial and temporal effects?
- 3. Where does the money go?



Blackcap caught with limestick: possible compensation of €100

Next steps

- Evaluate application of the list
- Supplement with other species
- Publish updated versions regularly

Questions to the public:

- How can the methodology be adapted to your jurisdiction
- How would you set up a (funding) structure for all the above



Spreeuwenvanger uit Maldegem moet ruim 15.000 euro betalen

National news: Sparrow catcher needs to pay €15.000